

NOV S & COV

November 18, 1997

Mr. William Kennard Chairman Designate Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street NW Washington, DC 20554

Ex Parte Letter Re: Cases WT 97-197, MM Docket 97-182, and DA 96-2140

Dear Chairman Kennard:

Please terminate all action in the proceeding cases. They attempt to make the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) the "Federal Zoning Commission" for cellular and broadcast towers and violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism.

Congress and the courts have long recognized that zoning is a matter of peculiarly local concern. The FCC has no zoning knowledge or expertise and is not accessible to most citizens.

For these reasons and others, Congress expressly preserved local zoning authority over cellular towers in the 1996 Act. Now the FCC is trying to get this jurisdiction back by issuing rules which improperly infringe on local zoning authority.

The FCC's efforts to assume jurisdiction over any local zoning matter where RF radiation is mentioned is unacceptable. The FCC ignores the fact that we cannot necessarily control the statements citizens make during meetings of our legislative bodies. Many municipalities, by state or local law, are required to allow citizens to speak on any topic they wish, even on items that are not on the agenda. This is part of what local government is all about.

Some of our citizens may be concerned about radiation from cellular towers. For the reasons just described we cannot necessarily prevent them from mentioning their concerns to us. The FCC's attempt to use this as a means to seize zoning authority and reverse local decisions violates basic principles of Federalism, Freedom of Speech and the rights of our citizens to petition their government.

ं भागतिक हास्**रति**

FCC page 2

This is particularly true if a municipality expressly says it is not considering such statements (that go beyond the radiation authority Congress left with municipalities) and the decision is completely valid on other grounds, such as the impact of the tower on property values or aesthetics.

For similar reasons the FCC cannot "second guess" the reasons for a municipality's decision. The FCC, like the courts, is bound by the stated reasons given by a municipality. Either these reasons are sufficient to uphold the decision or they are not. The FCC cannot "second guess" a municipality's true reasons any more than the courts can "second guess" the true reasons for the FCC's decisions.

The FCC's proposal to ban moratoria on cellular towers is objectionable for many of the reasons set forth above. It also fails to recognize that for some municipalities moratoria are a well recognized zoning tool, particularly while they revise zoning ordinances. More importantly, Congress took away the FCC's authority over cellular tower zoning, and this includes moratoria.

Similarly, please terminate the FCC's proposed rulemaking preempting local zoning of broadcast towers. As you well know, broadcast towers can be over 2,000 feet high -- they are some of the tallest structures known to man. It is therefore astounding that you would propose that municipalities can't consider the impact of such towers on property values, the environment or aesthetics and that even safety considerations take second place. Safety always has to be the first priority.

And setting artificial time limits for municipalities to act on environmental, zoning and building permit approvals for such towers serves no useful purpose. It is a violation of the U.S. Constitution, the Communications Act and Federalism for you to put time limits on municipalities to act on all local approvals and then state that all such applications will be automatically deemed granted if we don't act within this time frame, even if the application is incomplete or violates state or local law.

The FCC should consider how it would react if it was told that any broadcast license application would be automatically deemed granted unless the FCC acted on it within 21 to 45 days; that this rule applied whether or not the application was complete; whether or not the applicant was foreign or domestically owned or otherwise qualified; or even whether the frequencies were available. And the rule would apply without regard to whether the tower for the station was at the end of an airport runway, in a wetland or in a historic district.

FCC page 3

For these reasons the proposed actions all violate the Communications Act and the Constitution. Please terminate all these proceedings without taking the actions proposed therein.

Please call me at (517) 423-2107 if you have any questions.

Thank you for your attention and consideration.

Sincerely yours,

Frank L. Crosby

City Manager

FLC/mab

pc: City Council

City Attorney Greg Forsthoefel

Mr. John Pestle, Esq., Varnum, Riddering, Schmidt & Howlett

Mr. William F. Caton, Acting Secretary FCC

Commissioner Designate Harold Furchtgott-Roth

Commissioner Designate Michael Powell Commissioner Designate Gloria Tristani

Commissioner Susan Ness

Shaun A. Maher, Esq., FCC Commercial Wireless Division

Mr. Keith Larsen, FCC Mass Media Bureau

Ms. Susanna Swerling, FCC Mass Media Bureau

Ms. Rosalind Allen, FCC Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

Mr. Dan Phythyon, FCC Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

Mr. Roy J. Stewart, Mass Media Bureau

Ms. Barrie Tabin, National League of Cities

Ms. Eileen Huggard, NATOA

Mr. Robert Fogel, National Association of Counties

Mr. Kevin McCarty, U.S. Conference of Mayors

Ms. Cheryl Maynard, American Planning Association